|    | Case 2:22-cv-00687-AC Document 3 Fil   | ed 04/22/22                  | Page 1 of 2         |  |
|----|--|------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 1  |  |                              |                     |  |
| 2  |  |                              |                     |  |
| 3  |  |                              |                     |  |
| 4  |  |                              |                     |  |
| 5  |  |                              |                     |  |
| 6  |  |                              |                     |  |
| 7  |  |                              |                     |  |
| 8  |  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |                     |  |
| 9  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |                              |                     |  |
| 10 |  |                              |                     |  |
| 11 | Environment,   | o. 2:22-cv-00                | 687–AC              |  |
| 12 |  | RDER GRAN                    | <u>FING IFP AND</u> |  |
| 13 |  | IRECTING E-                  | <u>SERVICE</u>      |  |
| 14 |  |                              |                     |  |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,   |                              |                     |  |
| 16 | Defendant.   |                              |                     |  |
| 17 |  |                              |                     |  |
| 18 | Pending before the court is plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. <u>See</u>                                  |                              |                     |  |
| 19 | 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (authorizing the commencement of an action "without prepayment of fees or   |                              |                     |  |
| 20 | security" by a person that is unable to pay such fees). ECF No. 2. Plaintiff submitted the   |                              |                     |  |
| 21 | required affidavit, which demonstrates an inability to prepay fees and costs or give security for                                  |                              |                     |  |
| 22 | them. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:  |                              |                     |  |
| 23 | 1. Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is GRANTED;  |                              |                     |  |
| 24 | 2. The Clerk of Court is directed to issue a summons for this case;  |                              |                     |  |
| 25 | 3. In keeping with the court's e-service procedure for Social Security cases, <sup>2</sup> service                                 |                              |                     |  |
| 26 | Actions involving review of Social Security decisions are referred to a magistrate judge   |                              |                     |  |
| 27 | pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and E.D. Cal. L.R. 302(c)(15).   |                              |                     |  |
| 28 | <sup>2</sup> http://www.caed.uscourts.gov/caednew/index.cfm/news/new-social-security-case-procedures-effective-after-june-15-2021/ |                              |                     |  |
|    | 1  |                              |                     |  |

## Case 2:22-cv-00687-AC Document 3 Filed 04/22/22 Page 2 of 2

on the defendant Commissioner of Social Security Administration shall proceed under the court's E-Service program as follows. Once a summons is issued, the Clerk of Court shall deliver to the Commissioner of Social Security Administration and the United States Attorney's Office at their designated email addresses a notice of electronic filing of the action along with the summons and complaint. The Commissioner has agreed not to raise a defense of insufficient service of process if provided with notice of a complaint as detailed in this order. This order is not intended to prevent parties from making any other motions that are appropriate under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to issue a scheduling order in this case.

4. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to issue a scheduling order in this case. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 21, 2022

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE